# Case3:06-cv-01595-CRB Document88 Filed05/07/07 Page1 of 22

1 2 3 4 5	DAVID REPLOGLE, SBN 077875 LAW OFFICES OF DAVID REPLOGLE A Professional Corporation 550 Montgomery Street, Suite 550 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 362-4700 Facsimile: (415) 781-6683 E-mail: davidreplogle@aol.com  Attorneys for Plaintiffs Gabriel Hilario Alcaraz Martinez, Christian Fidal Arechiga Torres, Ramon Alejandro Hernandez Garcia and Elias Felipe Orozco Sanchez				
6					
7 8					
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
12	GABRIEL HILARIO ALCARAZ ) Case No. 06-1595-CRB MARTINEZ, CHRISTIAN FIDAL )				
13	ARECHIGA TORRES, RAMON ) ALEJANDRO HERNANDEZ )				
14 15	GARCIA and ELIAS FELIPE ) OROZCO SANCHEZ, ) AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES				
16	Plaintiffs, ) FOR CHILD SEX TOURISM AND PRODUCTION AND DISTRIBUTION				
17	vs. OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY				
18	THOMAS F. WHITE,				
19	Defendant. ) ) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
20					
21	Plaintiffs Gabriel Hilario Alcaraz Martinez, Christian Fidal Arechiga				
22	Torres, Ramon Alejandro Hernandez Garcia and Elias Felipe Orozco Sanchez				
23	allege as follows:				
24	JURISDICTION				
25	1. This action arises under the Protection of Children Against				
26	Sexual Exploitation Act of 1977, as amended, 18 U.S.C. § 2251, et seq. and the				
27	White Slave Traffic Act, as amended, 18 U.S.C. § 2421, et seq. This court has				
28	jurisdiction over this proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 18 U.S.C. §				
	AMENDED COMPLAINT -1-				

2255.

2

1

#### **VENUE**

3 4

2. Venue is proper in this district by virtue of 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as Defendant Thomas F. White is a resident of this district.

5

## INTRA-DISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

3. The San Francisco Division is the proper division in that

7

6

Defendant Thomas F. White is a resident of this division and a more

8

substantial part of the events or omissions which gave rise to these claims

9

occurred in the San Francisco Division than any other division of the court.

10

### **PARTIES**

11

Plaintiff Gabriel Hilario Alcaraz Martinez ("Alcaraz"), is now, 4.

12

and at all times mentioned herein was, a resident of the State of Jalisco, United

13

States of Mexico. Alcaraz was born on January 13, 1987 and is currently 20

14

years old.

15

5. Plaintiff Christian Fidal Arechiga Torres ("Arechiga"), is now, and at all times mentioned herein was, a resident of the State of Jalisco, United

16 17

States of Mexico. Arechiga was born on August 21, 1985 and is currently 21

18

years old.

19

Plaintiff Ramon Alejandro Hernandez Garcia ("Hernandez"), is

20

now, and at all times mentioned herein was, a resident of the State of Jalisco,

21

United States of Mexico. Hernandez was born on October 29, 1987 and is

22

currently 19 years old.

23

7. Plaintiff Elias Felipe Orozco Sanchez ("Orozco"), is now, and at all times mentioned herein was, a resident of the State of Jalisco, United States

24 25

of Mexico. Orozco was born on March 1, 1988 and is currently 19 years old.

26

8. Defendant Thomas F. White ("White") is now, and at all times

27

mentioned herein was, a resident of the City and County of San Francisco,

28

State of California. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that

White was born on or about February 14, 1936 and is currently 70 years old.

2

1

## COUNT ONE

3

4

# Alcaraz vs. White Child Sex Tourism

5

6

7

9. Plaintiff Alcazar refers to and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 8 of this Complaint as though fully set forth and pleaded herein.

10. At least as early as 1995, White began traveling in foreign

8

9

commerce from San Francisco to Puerto Vallarta, Mexico for the purpose of engaging in illicit sexual conduct with minors, including Plaintiff Alcazar, in

1011

violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2423(b). Once White arrived in Puerto Vallarta, he

12

would procure underage boys along the beach in Puerto Vallarta, take them to

13

his condominium in Puerto Vallarta or to his villa known as the "Casa Blanca"

14

south of Puerto Vallarta, give the young boys food, money and drugs, and

15

sexually abuse them in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2423(b) and (c).

16

17

several of his friends at the beach in 1995. At that time, Plaintiff Alcazar was 8

11. White first met Plaintiff Alcaraz when Alcaraz was surfing with

18

years old and homeless, spending most nights sleeping at the beach. White did

19

not take Alcazar to the Casa Blanca since the Casa Blanca had not yet been

2021

built. The next time Plaintiff Alcazar met White was at the fishing pier at the Playa de los Muertos in Puerto Vallarta. White was talking with another local

22

teenage boy who took White out on a boat so that he could examine from the

23

water the site where he was going to build his villa.

24

12. The next time Plaintiff Alcazar met White was near the Casa

25

Blanca which had recently been built. Alcazar was surfing with 5 other local boys near the Casa Blanca. At that time, Plaintiff Alcazar was 9 years old.

2627

White told Alcazar and his friends to come inside the Casa Blanca if they

28

wanted food. Alcazar went inside the Casa Blanca at White's invitation.

8

11

10

13

12

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

25

24

26

27 28

AMENDED COMPLAINT

permitted at the Casa Blanca. Alcazar complied with White's request and White told Alcazar if he had sex he would buy him clothes and provide him with money and food. White then took Alcaraz to his bedroom where White orally copulated Alcaraz in violation of Section 2423 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

- 13. Over the course of the next few years, Lovaas, White's personal assistant, would search for homeless children in Puerto Vallarta. Lovaas would then bring the homeless children, including Plaintiff Alcazar, to White's residence, the Casa Blanca, to provide White with the opportunity to sexually molest the children, including Plaintiff Alcazar. On multiple occasions over a two-year period beginning in 1996, White required Plaintiff Alcazar to engage in sexual acts with him, including oral and anal copulation, in violation of Sections 2423 of Title 18 of the United States Code.
- 14. White's acts of traveling in foreign commerce for the purpose of engaging in illicit sexual conduct with Alcaraz, a minor, as described herein, constitute travel for the purpose of engaging in illicit sexual activity with a minor proscribed by Section 2423(b) of Title 18 of the United States Code.
- 15. White's act of traveling in foreign commerce and engaging in illicit sexual conduct with Alcaraz, a minor, as described herein, constitute travel for the purpose of engaging in illicit sexual activity with a minor proscribed by Section 2423(c) of Title 18 of the United States Code.
- 16. At no time did Plaintiff Alcazar consent, or have the ability to consent, to any of the acts of White alleged herein.
- 17. As a direct and proximate result of White's acts as alleged herein, Plaintiff Alcazar was hurt and injured in his health, strength and activity, sustaining shock and injury to his nervous system, all of which have caused and continue to cause Plaintiff great mental pain, embarrassment,

1	humiliation, distress, anguish and suffering, all to Alcazar's damage in an
2	amount according to proof at trial.
3	18. As a further direct and proximate result of White's acts as
4	alleged herein, Plaintiff Alcazar has been damaged in that he has been required
5	to expend money and incur obligations for medical services required in the
6	treatment and relief of the injuries herein alleged.
7	19. Plaintiff Alcazar is deemed to have suffered damages of no
8	less than \$150,000 in value under 18 U.S.C. § 2255(a).
9	20. White engaged in the conduct alleged above with malice,
10	fraud, oppression and/or in conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiff
11	Alcazar. Alcazar is therefore entitled to an award of exemplary and punitive
12	damages.
13	21. Plaintiff Alcazar is entitled to an award of costs of suit,
14	including reasonable attorneys' fees, under 18 U.S.C. § 2255(a).
15	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Alcazar prays for judgment as set forth
16	below.
17	COUNT TWO
18	Alcazar vs. White
19	Production and Distribution of Child Pornography
20	22. Plaintiff Alcaraz refers to and incorporates by reference each
21	and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 21 of this Complaint as
22	though fully set forth and pleaded herein.
23	23 On numerous occasions beginning in or about 1996, White
24	and his associates videotaped Plaintiff Alcazar engaging in sexually explicit
25	conduct with White and others. White knew or had reason to know that such
26	visual depiction of sexually explicit conduct of a minor would be transported in
27	interstate or foreign commerce or mailed.
28	24. White's production and distribution of child pornography,

-5-

AMENDED COMPLAINT

, |

2251 et seq. of Title 18 of the United States Code.
25. Plaintiff Alcazar is informed and believes, and thereon
alleges, that White distributed copies of the child pornography containing the

described herein, constitutes child sexual exploitation proscribed by Sections

alleges, that White distributed copies of the child pornography containing the images of Plaintiff Alcazar to White's numerous friends and acquaintances in the United States and further posted the child pornography containing the images of Plaintiff Alcazar on the internet. Plaintiff Alcazar is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that copies of the child pornography containing the images of Plaintiff Alcazar posted by White on the internet are still on the internet and are still being downloaded by numerous individuals in the United States.

- 26. As a direct and proximate result of White's acts as alleged herein, Plaintiff Alcazar was hurt and injured in his health, strength and activity, sustaining shock and injury to his nervous system, all of which have caused and continue to cause Plaintiff great mental pain, embarrassment, humiliation, distress, anguish and suffering, all to Alcazar's damage in an amount in excess of the minimum subject matter jurisdiction of this court and according to proof at trial.
- 27. As a further direct and proximate result of White's acts as alleged herein, Plaintiff Alcazar has been damaged in that he has been required to expend money and incur obligations for medical services required in the treatment and relief of the injuries herein alleged.
- 28. Alcazar is deemed to have suffered damages of no less than \$150,000 in value under 18 U.S.C. § 2255(a).
- 29. White engaged in the conduct alleged above with malice, fraud, oppression and/or in conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiff Alcazar. Alcazar is therefore entitled to an award of exemplary and punitive damages.

1 30. Plaintiff Alcazar is entitled to an award of costs of suit, 2 including reasonable attorneys' fees, under 18 U.S.C. § 2255(a). 3 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Alcazar prays for judgment as set forth below. 4 5 COUNT THREE Arechiga vs. White 6 7 Child Sex Tourism 8 31. Plaintiff Arechiga refers to and incorporates by reference each 9 and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 8 of this Complaint as 10 though fully set forth and pleaded herein. 11 32. At least as early as 1995, White began traveling in foreign 12 commerce from San Francisco to Puerto Vallarta, Mexico for the purpose of 13 engaging in illicit sexual conduct with minors, including Plaintiff Arechiga, in 14 violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2423(b). Once White arrived in Puerto Vallarta, he 15 would procure underage boys along the beach in Puerto Vallarta, take them to 16 his condominium in Puerto Vallarta or to his villa known as the "Casa Blanca" 17 south of Puerto Vallarta, give the young boys food, money and drugs, and 18 sexually abuse them in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2423(b) and (c). 19 33. White first met Plaintiff Arechiga when Arechiga was surfing 20 with several of his friends at the beach near the Casa Blanca in approximately 21 1997. At that time, Plaintiff Alcazar was 12 years old. White told Arechiga 22 and his friends to come inside the Casa Blanca if they wanted food. Arechiga 23 went inside the Casa Blanca at White's invitation. Once inside, White told 24 Arechiga that he had to disrobe since clothing was not permitted at the Casa 25 Arechiga complied with White's request and disrobed. 26 then went into the swimming pool with another local boy, White and White's 27 assistant Nathan Lovaas. White told Arechiga he would give him 200 Pesos if 28 White could orally copulate Arechiga. White then took Arechiga to his

-7-

AMENDED COMPLAINT

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

- bedroom where White orally copulated Arechiga in violation of Section 2423 of Title 18 of the United States Code.
- 34. Over the course of the next few years, Lovaas, White's personal assistant, would search for homeless children in Puerto Vallarta. Lovaas would then bring the homeless children, including Plaintiff, to White's residence, the Casa Blanca, to provide White with the opportunity to sexually molest the children, including Plaintiff Arechiga. On multiple occasions after the first incident in approximately 1997, White required Plaintiff Arechiga to engage in sexual acts with him, including oral and anal copulation, in violation of Sections 2423 of Title 18 of the United States Code.
- 35. White's acts of traveling in foreign commerce for the purpose of engaging in illicit sexual conduct with Arechiga, a minor, as described herein, constitute travel for the purpose of engaging in illicit sexual activity with a minor proscribed by Section 2423(b) of Title 18 of the United States Code.
- 36. White's act of traveling in foreign commerce and engaging in illicit sexual conduct with Arechiga, a minor, as described herein, constitute travel for the purpose of engaging in illicit sexual activity with a minor proscribed by Section 2423(c) of Title 18 of the United States Code.
- 37. At no time did Plaintiff Arechiga consent, or have the ability to consent, to any of the acts of White alleged herein.
- 38. As a direct and proximate result of White's acts as alleged herein, Plaintiff Arechiga was hurt and injured in his health, strength and activity, sustaining shock and injury to his nervous system, all of which have caused and continue to cause Plaintiff great mental pain, embarrassment, humiliation, distress, anguish and suffering, all to Arechiga's damage in an amount according to proof at trial.
- 39. As a further direct and proximate result of White's acts as AMENDED COMPLAINT -8-

1	alleged herein, Plaintiff Arechiga has been damaged in that he has been				
2	required to expend money and incur obligations for medical services required				
3	in the treatment and relief of the injuries herein alleged.				
4	40. Plaintiff Arechiga is deemed to have suffered damages of no				
5	less than \$150,000 in value under 18 U.S.C. § 2255(a).				
6	41. White engaged in the conduct alleged above with malice,				
7	fraud, oppression and/or in conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiff				
8	Arechiga. Arechiga is therefore entitled to an award of exemplary and punitive				
9	damages.				
10	42. Plaintiff Arechiga is entitled to an award of costs of suit,				
11	including reasonable attorneys' fees, under 18 U.S.C. § 2255(a).				
12	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Arechiga prays for judgment as set forth				
13	below.				
14	COUNT FOUR				
15	Arechiga vs. White				
16	Production and Distribution of Child Pornography				
17	43. Plaintiff Arechiga refers to and incorporates by reference each				
18	and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 8 and paragraphs 31				
19	through 42 of this Complaint as though fully set forth and pleaded herein.				
20	44. On numerous occasions beginning in or about 1997, White				
21	and his associates videotaped Plaintiff Arechiga engaging in sexually explicit				
22	conduct with White and others. White knew or had reason to know that such				
23	visual depiction of sexually explicit conduct of a minor would be transported in				
24	interstate or foreign commerce or mailed.				
25	45. White's production and distribution of child pornography,				
26	described herein, constitutes child sexual exploitation proscribed by Sections				
27					
41	2251 et seq. of Title 18 of the United States Code.				

-9-

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	alleges, that White distributed copies of the child pornography containing the
2	images of Plaintiff Arechiga to White's numerous friends and acquaintances in
3	the United States and further posted the child pornography containing the
4	images of Plaintiff Arechiga on the internet. Plaintiff Arechiga is further
5	informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that copies of the child
6	pornography containing the images of Plaintiff Arechiga posted by White on the
7	internet are still on the internet and are still being downloaded by numerous
8	individuals in the United States.

- 47. As a direct and proximate result of White's acts as alleged herein, Plaintiff Arechiga was hurt and injured in his health, strength and activity, sustaining shock and injury to his nervous system, all of which have caused and continue to cause Plaintiff great mental pain, embarrassment, humiliation, distress, anguish and suffering, all to Arechiga's damage in an amount in excess of the minimum subject matter jurisdiction of this court and according to proof at trial.
- 48. As a further direct and proximate result of White's acts as alleged herein, Plaintiff Arechiga has been damaged in that he has been required to expend money and incur obligations for medical services required in the treatment and relief of the injuries herein alleged.
- 49. Plaintiff Arechiga is deemed to have suffered damages of no less than \$150,000 in value under 18 U.S.C. § 2255(a).
- 50. White engaged in the conduct alleged above with malice, fraud, oppression and/or in conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiff Arechiga. Plaintiff Arechiga is therefore entitled to an award of exemplary and punitive damages.
- 51. Plaintiff Arechiga is entitled to an award of costs of suit, including reasonable attorneys' fees, under 18 U.S.C. § 2255(a).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Arechiga prays for judgment as set forth

below.

## COUNT FIVE

## Hernandez vs. White

## Child Sex Tourism

52. Plaintiff Hernandez refers to and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 8 of this Complaint as though fully set forth and pleaded herein.

53. At least as early as 1995, White began traveling in foreign commerce from San Francisco to Puerto Vallarta, Mexico for the purpose of engaging in illicit sexual conduct with minors, including Plaintiff Hernandez, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2423(b). Once White arrived in Puerto Vallarta, he would procure underage boys along the beach in Puerto Vallarta, take them to his condominium in Puerto Vallarta or to his villa known as the "Casa Blanca" south of Puerto Vallarta, give the young boys food, money and drugs, and sexually abuse them in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2423(b) and (c).

54. White first met Plaintiff Hernandez in front of Carlos O'Brien's Bar along the Malecon in Puerto Vallarta in approximately 1996. At that time, Plaintiff Hernandez was 10 years old. White was inside the bar drinking and Hernandez was on the street selling roses. White came outside to talk to Hernandez. White asked Hernandez how old he was and Hernandez told him he was 10 years old. White then took Plaintiff Hernandez to the Casa Blanca. Once inside, White told Hernandez that he had to disrobe since clothing was not permitted at the Casa Blanca. Hernandez complied with White's request and disrobed. After Hernandez disrobed at White's request, White began taking pictures of Hernandez. White then took Hernandez to his bedroom and put pornography on the television to sexually arouse Hernandez. White then orally copulated Hernandez in violation of Section 2423 of Title 18 of the United States Code. After White orally copulated Hernandez, White gave Hernandez

800 Pesos and Hernandez left the Casa Blanca.

55. Over the course of the next few years, Lovaas, White's personal assistant, would search for homeless children in Puerto Vallarta. Lovaas would then bring the homeless children, including Plaintiff Hernandez, to White's residence, the Casa Blanca, to provide White with the opportunity to sexually molest the children, including Plaintiff Hernandez. On multiple occasions after the first incident in approximately 1996, White required Plaintiff Hernandez to engage in sexual acts with him, including oral and anal copulation, in violation of Sections 2423 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

alleges, that White left Puerto Vallarta in or about May of 2002 in order to avoid being arrested by the Mexican authorities on multiple charges of child rape. White relocated to his house near the Thai beach town of Pattaya south of Bangkok where he was living with his assistant Nathan Lovaas and a group of underage local boys. On February 13, 2003 White was arrested by the Thai authorities in Bangkok at the request of the Mexican authorities. After White was arrested, White fought the extradition request of the Mexican government for over two years. Plaintiff Hernandez is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that on or about July 31, 2005, White was turned over to the Mexican authorities and transported to Mexico to stand trial on charges of child rape arising from his activities at the Casa Blanca. Since approximately August 1, 2005, White has been held at the Jalisco state prison in Ixtapa, a small town near Puerto Vallarta.

57. Plaintiff Hernandez next saw White in September of 2005 when Hernandez was 17 years old. On September 29, 2005, Hernandez was incarcerated in the adult facility in Ixtapa, the same prison where White has been held since August 1, 2005. At that time, Hernandez did not have identification to prove to the authorities that he was still a minor. The

- 58. After White raped Hernandez in the prison, the prison officials were provided with a copy of Hernandez's birth certificate demonstrating that he was still a minor. Hernandez was then transferred by the prison officials to a juvenile detention facility.
- 59. White's acts of traveling in foreign commerce for the purpose of engaging in illicit sexual conduct with Hernandez, a minor, as described herein, constitute travel for the purpose of engaging in illicit sexual activity with a minor proscribed by Section 2423(b) of Title 18 of the United States Code.
- 60. White's act of traveling in foreign commerce and engaging in illicit sexual conduct with Hernandez, a minor, as described herein, constitute travel for the purpose of engaging in illicit sexual activity with a minor proscribed by Section 2423(c) of Title 18 of the United States Code.
- 61. At no time did Plaintiff Hernandez consent, or have the ability to consent, to any of the acts of White alleged herein.
- 62. As a direct and proximate result of White's acts as alleged herein, Plaintiff Hernandez was hurt and injured in his health, strength and activity, sustaining shock and injury to his nervous system, all of which have caused and continue to cause Plaintiff great mental pain, embarrassment, humiliation, distress, anguish and suffering, all to Hernandez's damage in an

1	amount according to proof at trial.				
2	63. As a further direct and proximate result of White's acts as				
3	alleged herein, Plaintiff Hernandez has been damaged in that he has been				
4	required to expend money and incur obligations for medical services required				
5	in the treatment and relief of the injuries herein alleged.				
6	64. Plaintiff Hernandez is deemed to have suffered damages of no				
7	less than \$150,000 in value under 18 U.S.C. § 2255(a).				
8	65. White engaged in the conduct alleged above with malice,				
9	fraud, oppression and/or in conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiff				
10	Hernandez. Hernandez is therefore entitled to an award of exemplary and				
11	punitive damages.				
12	66. Plaintiff Hernandez is entitled to an award of costs of suit,				
13	including reasonable attorneys' fees, under 18 U.S.C. § 2255(a).				
14	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Hernandez prays for judgment as set forth				
15	below.				
16	COUNT SIX				
17	Hernandez vs. White				
18	Production and Distribution of Child Pornography				
19	67. Plaintiff Hernandez refers to and incorporates by reference				
20	each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 8 and paragraphs				
21	52 through 66 of this Complaint as though fully set forth and pleaded herein.				
22	68. On numerous occasions beginning in or about 1996, White				
23	and his associates videotaped Plaintiff Hernandez engaging in sexually explicit				
24	conduct with White and others. White knew or had reason to know that such				
25	visual depiction of sexually explicit conduct of a minor would be transported in				
26	interstate or foreign commerce or mailed.				
27	69. White's production and distribution of child pornography,				
28	described herein, constitutes child sexual exploitation proscribed by Sections				
	AMENDED COMPLAINT -14-				

2251 et seq. of Title 18 of the United States Code.

- 70. Plaintiff Hernandez is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that White distributed copies of the child pornography containing the images of Plaintiff Hernandez to White's numerous friends and acquaintances in the United States and further posted the child pornography containing the images of Plaintiff Hernandez on the internet. Plaintiff Hernandez is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that copies of the child pornography containing the images of Plaintiff Hernandez posted by White on the internet are still on the internet and are still being downloaded by numerous individuals in the United States.
- 71. As a direct and proximate result of White's acts as alleged herein, Plaintiff Hernandez was hurt and injured in his health, strength and activity, sustaining shock and injury to his nervous system, all of which have caused and continue to cause Plaintiff great mental pain, embarrassment, humiliation, distress, anguish and suffering, all to Hernandez's damage in an amount in excess of the minimum subject matter jurisdiction of this court and according to proof at trial.
- 72. As a further direct and proximate result of White's acts as alleged herein, Plaintiff Hernandez has been damaged in that he has been required to expend money and incur obligations for medical services required in the treatment and relief of the injuries herein alleged.
- 73. Plaintiff Hernandez is deemed to have suffered damages of no less than \$150,000 in value under 18 U.S.C. § 2255(a).
- 74. White engaged in the conduct alleged above with malice, fraud, oppression and/or in conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiff Hernandez. Plaintiff Hernandez is therefore entitled to an award of exemplary and punitive damages.
- 75. Plaintiff Hernandez is entitled to an award of costs of suit,

  AMENDED COMPLAINT -15-

including reasonable attorneys' fees, under 18 U.S.C. § 2255(a).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Hernandez prays for judgment as set forth below.

#### **COUNT SEVEN**

## Orozco vs. White

## Child Sex Tourism

- 76. Plaintiff Orozco refers to and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 8 of this Complaint as though fully set forth and pleaded herein.
- 77. At least as early as 1995, White began traveling in foreign commerce from San Francisco to Puerto Vallarta, Mexico for the purpose of engaging in illicit sexual conduct with minors, including Plaintiff Orozco, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2423(b). Once White arrived in Puerto Vallarta, he would procure underage boys along the beach in Puerto Vallarta, take them to his condominium in Puerto Vallarta or to his villa known as the "Casa Blanca" south of Puerto Vallarta, give the young boys food, money and drugs, and sexually abuse them in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2423(b) and (c).
- Vallarta in the summer of 2000. At that time, Plaintiff Orozco was 12 years old. Orozco was walking along the beach with his twin brother. White approached the two boys and began talking to them, asking them about their parents. Orozco told White that he was in Puerto Vallarta only with his mother since his father had recently died. White continued to ask Orozco questions while his brother began making sand castles at the beach. White then invited Orozco and his brother to his house, telling him that he had a swimming pool. After Orozco told White that he needed to ask his mother for permission, White told him not to worry since he, White, knew his mother's employer and it would be alright. White then took Orozco and his brother to

the Casa Blanca.

- 79. Once inside the Casa Blanca, Orozco and his brother went into the swimming pool. White joined the two boys in the swimming pool and began to rub sun screen on Orozco. White then masturbated Orozco in the swimming pool.
- 80. After White exited the pool, White began taking pictures of Orozco. White then took Orozco to his bedroom and put pornography on the television to sexually arouse Orozco. White then orally copulated Orozco in violation of Section 2423 of Title 18 of the United States Code. After White orally copulated Orozco, Orozco became frightened and telephoned his mother. Orozco's mother told Orozco to take a taxi to meet her at the Malecon. Orozco then took his brother and left the Casa Blanca.
- 81. White's acts of traveling in foreign commerce for the purpose of engaging in illicit sexual conduct with Orozco, a minor, as described herein, constitute travel for the purpose of engaging in illicit sexual activity with a minor proscribed by Section 2423(b) of Title 18 of the United States Code.
- 82. White's act of traveling in foreign commerce and engaging in illicit sexual conduct with Orozco, a minor, as described herein, constitute travel for the purpose of engaging in illicit sexual activity with a minor proscribed by Section 2423(c) of Title 18 of the United States Code.
- 83. At no time did Plaintiff Orozco consent, or have the ability to consent, to any of the acts of White alleged herein.
- 84. As a direct and proximate result of White's acts as alleged herein, Plaintiff Orozco was hurt and injured in his health, strength and activity, sustaining shock and injury to his nervous system, all of which have caused and continue to cause Plaintiff great mental pain, embarrassment, humiliation, distress, anguish and suffering, all to Orozco's damage in an amount according to proof at trial.

	ll
1	85. As a further direct and proximate result of White's acts as
2	alleged herein, Plaintiff Orozco has been damaged in that he has been required
3	to expend money and incur obligations for medical services required in the
4	treatment and relief of the injuries herein alleged.
5	86. Plaintiff Orozco is deemed to have suffered damages of no
6	less than \$150,000 in value under 18 U.S.C. § 2255(a).
7	87. White engaged in the conduct alleged above with malice,
8	fraud, oppression and/or in conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiff
9	Orozco. Orozco is therefore entitled to an award of exemplary and punitive
10	damages.
11	88. Plaintiff Orozco is entitled to an award of costs of suit,
12	including reasonable attorneys' fees, under 18 U.S.C. § 2255(a).
13	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Orozco prays for judgment as set forth
14	below.
15	COUNT EIGHT
16	Orozco vs. White
17	Production and Distribution of Child Pornography
18	89. Plaintiff Orozco refers to and incorporates by reference each
19	and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 8 and paragraphs 76
20	through 88 of this Complaint as though fully set forth and pleaded herein.
21	90. At the time Plaintiff Orozco was at the Casa Blanca in the
22	summer of 2000, White videotaped Plaintiff Orozco engaging in sexually explicit
23	conduct with White. White knew or had reason to know that such visual
24	depiction of sexually explicit conduct of a minor would be transported in
25	interstate or foreign commerce or mailed.
26	91. White's production and distribution of child pornography,
27	described herein, constitutes child sexual exploitation proscribed by Sections
28	2251 et seq. of Title 18 of the United States Code.
	AMENDED COMPLAINT -18-

- 92. Plaintiff Orozco is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that White distributed copies of the child pornography containing the images of Plaintiff Orozco to White's numerous friends and acquaintances in the United States and further posted the child pornography containing the images of Plaintiff Orozco on the internet. Plaintiff Orozco is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that copies of the child pornography containing the images of Plaintiff Orozco posted by White on the internet are still on the internet and are still being downloaded by numerous individuals in the United States.
- 93. As a direct and proximate result of White's acts as alleged herein, Plaintiff Orozco was hurt and injured in his health, strength and activity, sustaining shock and injury to his nervous system, all of which have caused and continue to cause Plaintiff great mental pain, embarrassment, humiliation, distress, anguish and suffering, all to Orozco's damage in an amount in excess of the minimum subject matter jurisdiction of this court and according to proof at trial.
- 94. As a further direct and proximate result of White's acts as alleged herein, Plaintiff Orozco has been damaged in that he has been required to expend money and incur obligations for medical services required in the treatment and relief of the injuries herein alleged.
- 95. Plaintiff Orozco is deemed to have suffered damages of no less than \$150,000 in value under 18 U.S.C. § 2255(a).
- 96. White engaged in the conduct alleged above with malice, fraud, oppression and/or in conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiff Orozco. Plaintiff Orozco is therefore entitled to an award of exemplary and punitive damages.
- 97. Plaintiff Orozco is entitled to an award of costs of suit, including reasonable attorneys' fees, under 18 U.S.C. § 2255(a).

1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Orozco prays for judgment as set forth				
2	below.				
3		PRAYE	R FOR RELIEF		
4	WH	IEREFORE, Plaintiff	s Alcazar, Arechiga, Hernandez and Orozco		
5	pray for judgme	ent against White as	follows:		
6	1.	For statutory dam	For statutory damages in an amount to be proved at trial but		
7	no less than \$150,000 for each Plaintiff for White's violation of 18 U.S.C. §				
8	2423.				
9	2.	For statutory damages in an amount to be proved at trial but			
10	no less than \$150,000 for each Plaintiff for White's violation of 18 U.S.C. §				
11	2251.				
12	3.	For general dama	ges in an amount to be proved at trial.		
13	4.	For medical and r	elated expenses in an amount to be proved		
14	at trial.				
15	5.	For exemplary and	d punitive damages.		
16	6.	For costs of suit, i	ncluding reasonable attorneys' fees,		
17	pursuant to 18	U.S.C. § 2255(a).			
18	7.	For such other an	d further relief as the court may		
19	deem just and proper.				
20	Dated: May 7, 2	2007.	LAW OFFICES OF DAVID REPLOGLE A Professional Corporation		
21			/S/		
22					
23	By, David Replogle Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
24					
25					
26					
27					
28			20		
	AMENDED COM	VIPLAIN I	-20-		

	Case3:06-cv-01595-CRB Docum	ent88 I	Filed05/07/07	Page21 of 22
1	DEMA	ND FOR	JURY TRIA	L
2	Plaintiffs hereby der	nand a t	trial by jury.	
3	Dated: May 7, 2007.		W OFFICES C rofessional C	F DAVID REPLOGLE
4	ł	711.		orporation
5	5		/S/	
6		$\overline{\mathrm{Bv.}}$	David Replos	gle
7	7	Atto	David Replog orneys for Pla	intiffs
8	3			
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15 16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23	3			
24	ļ.			
25	5			
26	5			
27	7			
28	3			
	AMENDED COMPLAINT	-2	1-	

1	PROOF OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE				
2	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and				
3	correct:				
4	I am a citizen of the United States over the age of eighteen years,				
5	employed in the City and County of San Francisco, California, and not a party to the within entitled cause; my business address is 550 Montgomery Street, Suite 550, San Francisco, California.				
6	On May 7, 2007 I served the following:				
7	AMENDED COMPLAINT				
9	on the interested party(ies) in said cause, by causing an electronic delivery subject to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, Local Rules or General Orders of this Court				
10	regarding Electronic Case Filing addressed as follows:				
11	Geoffrey Rotwein Attorneys for Defendant 400 Montgomery St., Ste. 200 Thomas F. White San Francisco, CA 94104				
12	E-mail: geoffrottwein@sbcglobal.net				
13	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on May 7, 2007 at San				
14	Francisco, California.				
15	/S/				
16	DAVID REPLOGLE				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23 24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
)	AMENDED COMPLAINT -22-				